## MINUTES OF FACULTY SENATE MEETING DECEMBER 6, 1968

The Regional Campus Faculty Senate of the University of South Carolina met in the Keystone Room of Capstone House in Columbia, South Carolina, on December 6, 1968, at 10:30 A.M. The following members were present:


Dr. Duffy presided 'eit this meeting and was assisted by Mr. Ray M. Wilson, Jr.; Vice-Chairman. Dr. Duffy recognized Dr. Patterson, who made a few remarks to the Senate members. He announced that our self-study had been successfully completed, and that we are now accredited by the Southern Association of Schools and Colleges, both independently and jointly with the University. Dr. Patterson congratulated the campuses on presenting a self-study which was acceptable to the Southern Association. He said that it was the work of the individual campus faculties.

Dr. Patterson said that recommendations for promotions had been approved by the president. Those faculty members to be affected by promotion are to be notified by a letter.

Concerning our status with the Moody report, he said that he still does not know any more about our future than he previously did. He feels that we are doing the job, and he would like to "continue to live with us" until someone tells him not to. He commented that a person's expectations concerning the Moody report would depend on which "day's rumor" a person believes. He stated that most of the county commissions feel that they would like to continue operating with the University of South Carolina rather than with some other institution. He realizes that his present job requires him to be in a number of places, but he still pledges to work with us where he is needed.

Dr. Patterson asked to be excused from the Senate meeting.
A correction to the previous minutes was made, It was advised that the Beaufort representative on the courses and curricula committee was incorrectly named and should have read, "Mr. Samuel C. Greenly."

Dr. Duffy asked for a report from the courses and curricula committee. The chairman stated that this committee had met earlier during the morning and had considered the problem concerning the requirements for the associate of arts and associate of science degrees. The chairman stated that the question of the advisability of offering the degree had come up in the committee meeting, and that several members of this committee expressed the desire:that further discussion be made by the Senate concerning the awarding of these degrees. However, the committee stated that since we do have the present requirements for a degree, it did wish to make a recommendation to be considered by the Senate. The recommendation, as was seconded, read:


#### Abstract

"We would recommend that a student who earns 60 hours credit, with a minimum GPR of 2.0 will receive an associate of arts or associate of science degree: To receive this degree the student must have declared a major field and must have completed the basic freshman and sophomore courses required for this program. In addition, we would recommend that substitutions be allowed only where the course which the student would take is not offered by that particular regional campus. $\because$ Where a substitute course is used to reach the 60 hours; this course must be approved by the courses and curricula committee of the particular: regional campus concerned, upon petition by the student concerned;"


A discussion concerning the need or advisability of the degree followed. It was stated that Spartanburg felt that since the University of South Carolina, Columbia, idoes not offer the degree; then the regional campus should not offer the degree. Spartanbung also stated that they did not want to be considered as a junior college, and they felt that offering an associate degree at the end of two years would : definitely place them in the junior college category: Spartanburg also stated that its faculty was 24 to 0 against awarding the degree.

An unofficial questioning of Senate members indicated that, with the exception of Coastal and Spartanburg, most df the regional campuses in general favored the awarding of the degree.

Spartanburg asked, "Will a campus have to award the degree?" In that the Senate did authorize the granting of the degree, any student fulfilling the requirements should receive a degree.

A discussion took place concerning the desirability of a liaison between the Regional Campus Senate and the main faculty. Spartanburg expressed the desire to be able to sit in on the faculty meetings of the main campus.

Mr. Charles made an amendment to the motion that we require only 60 hours of work to receive a degree. This amendment was defeated.

Mr . Casper made an amended motion that any substitutions be approved not by the courses and curricula committee, but by the director and admissions officer. This motion was defeated.

An amended motion was made that the original motion be carried but that courses being substituted must be approved by the petition committee rather than the courses and curricula committee, This motion was carried. The motion as carpied now reads:

"We would recommend that a student who earns 60 hours credit, with a minimum GPR of 2.0 will receive an associate of arts or associate of science degree. To receive this degree the student must have declared a major field and must have completed the basic freshman and sophomore courses required for this program. In addition, we would recommend that substitutions be allowed only where the course which the student would take is not offered by that particular regional campus. Where a substitute course is used to reach the 60 hours, this course must be approved by the admissions and petitions committee of the particular regional campus congenned, upon petition by the student concerned."

A motion was made that a regional campus could award an associate degree to a student who had completed 54 hours of work at a regional campus and who completed the additional 6 hours at the main campus. This motion was not carried.

The courses and curricula committee also asked that consideration be given to students who meet the requirements for a degree at the centers. They asked that consideration be made that these students be given a degree from another campus or from the main campus. The committee asked that if this was not possible that the Allendale Center be allowed to give a certificate for the secretarial science students who have reached the requirements necessary for this particular degree. Dr. Duffy advised that the fulfilling of this request was an administrative function. The chairman acknowledged this fact, and Dr. Duffy said that consideration would be given to these requests.

The chairman of the rank and tenure committee made a "negative report."

Mr. Romano made the following report from the library committee:

Following is the information on the success of acquiring 20,000 volumes for each Regional Campus Library.

|  | On Shelves Exact No. | Being Processed Received \& Paid | On Order Not Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aiken | 11,220 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Beaufort | 12,208 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Conway | 12,400 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Florence | 13,238 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Lancaster | 12,216 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Salkehatchie | 11,596 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Spartanburg | 7.870 | 1,000 | 300 |
| Union | 11,313 | 1,000 | 300 |

The estimates of books being processed seems to be very accurate, and those on order or not received is not quite as accurate. The total number of books on order is 2,500 .

In addition, due to a contract with Bro-Dart, each Regional Campus Library should receive 6,000 to 7,000 volumes by the middle of April.

So, the average holdings for each Regional Campus Library should reach 17,000 volumes by August.

The above information was obtained from Kenneth E. Toombs, Director of Libraries.

Mr. Romano also stated that the smaller centers will reduce ordering for their libraries. (The library money will probably be prorated.) He stated that it costs us $\$ 5.00$ to process a library book.

Mr. Glenn made the following report from the ad hoc admis.sions committee.

The committee on admissions met at 9:20 a.m., December 6, 1968. Representatives from three regional campuses presented proposals for possible adoption in a change of admission policies for the regional campuses. The Spartanburg representative spoke of a summer program of college credit courses being offered to high school students prior to the acceptance as full time college students in the fall semester. Upon passing the work in summer, they register for a fall probationary term. After passing
the course work for the school term, they must remain at the Spartanburg Regional Campus for at least two additional semesters. This is currently being studied at Spartanburg.

By letter, the Aiken admissions officer proposed that the lower half of the high school graduation class be subdivided into three groups based on the college entrance exam scores. There will be a group score of 850 for the upper 3 rd group, a score of 900 for the second group, and a score of 1,000 for the lower 3 rd group. A representative from Lancaster entered the proposal that the college entrance exam be reduced from 900 to 860 . It is suggested that the admissions officer and interested faculty members of the/regional campuses formulate proposals for changes in admission policies and send these proposals to the other regional campuses to be considered in a called faculty meeting.

The proposals deemed most suitable for further study will be sent to the chairman of the committee on admissions of the Faculty Senate, James W. Glenn, Jr., Lancaster Regional Campus before the next called meeting of the Faculty Senate.

The discussion of the report from the ad hoc admissions committee led to discussion of our old "parallel program." We asked ourselves why this program did not "work out " Two possibilities were mentioned. Was it the lack of ability of the student, or was it the lack of ability of the teacher to teach this marginal student?

In discussing our admission policies, Dr. Duffy told us that the Southern Association does not care what our admission policies may be. They only require that we show exactly what they are. Our admission policies are standard through the entire University system so that we may have the transferability from the different regional campuses to the University.

There was no old business to come before the Senate.
Dr: Duffy asked if there was any new business to come before the Senate." The question wàs asked, "Do all of our directors teach, and should they sit in on the Senate meetings?" It was explained as outlined in the minutes of the last Senate meeting that the Regional Campus Senate is set up on the line as is the
faculty on the main campus; therefore, the directors should sit in on the Senate as voting members.

The question was asked, "Can the newly promoted members be a member of the main campus faculty as voting members?" The reply was that we cannot vote as members of the main campus faculty. Spartanburg stated that they would like to see us in on the USC faculty setup. Spartanburg also stated that they felt that a USC-wide committee on curricula would benefit both the regional and Columbia campuses.

Dr. Duffy again thanked each of us for our work on the self-study.

The meeting then adjourned.

William C. Sanders Acting Secretary

