Peer Assessment of On-line Performance Arnold School of Public Health

Faculty Member:	Department
Observer	Department/School
Class	Number of Students
Number of times the course has been taught	
Assessment Submission date:	
I. Content delivery	

I.A. Course Structure	Yes	No	Comment
Initial announcements provide location of			
syllabus, procedures for contacting instructor, timeline for instructor response			
Contact for technical support is provided			
Texts and materials described/provided			
Links to technical resources (e.g.,			
Adobe reader) are provided as needed			
Instructor-developed materials are			
uploaded and available.			
Procedures for submitting assignments			
are clearly explained.			
Materials are ADA compliant.			
Examples: text is not embedded in graphics			
(appropriate to visually disabled students);			
transcription provided for narrated materials (appropriate to deaf students).			
Tools are provided for collaborative			
projects (e.g., wiki)			
Guidelines for appropriate on-line			
interaction are provided or developed			
collaboratively			
Syllabus references Carolina Creed for			
academic integrity			
Syllabus references procedures for			
notifying instructor regarding student			
disabilities			

I.B. Course Content	Yes	No	
Learning objectives for course clearly defined			
Learning activities are proved for each session			
All deadlines are clearly defined			
Assignments appropriately spaced across time period			
Each session uses multiple teaching techniques (lecture, readings, video)			
Other:			

II. Promotion of student engagement

	Yes	No	Comment
Course requires frequent student input,			
e.g., threaded discussions			
Instructor models on-line			
communication (appropriate language			
and grammar)			
Student participation is monitored			
Feedback occurs frequently and is			
timed as closely as possible to student			
submission of work			
Opportunities are provided for student			
peer-to-peer communication			
Group projects are required			
Other:			

III. Assessment of student learning

	Yes	No	Comment
Online participation is monitored and			
graded			
Student assignments are assessed.			
Examinations are scheduled and			
feedback provided to students			

IV. Overall Evaluation:

- 1. What are the overall strengths of this online course?
- 2. What are areas in which the instructor can improve?
- 3. Mark the number that best describes the overall teaching performance for this course.

1=performance needs considerable improvement

2=performance needs some improvement

3=performance is acceptable/appropriate

4=performance is very good

5=performance is outstanding/exceptional

Please add any other comments relevant to your evaluation: